Complete Summary

TITLE

Birth trauma -- injury to neonate: rate per 1,000 liveborn births.

SOURCE(S)

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to patient safety indicators [version 3.1]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)AHRQ Pub; 2007 Mar 12. 76 p.(AHRQ Pub; no. 03-R203).

AHRQ quality indicators. Patient safety indicators: technical specifications [version 3.2]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2008 Mar 10. 107 p.

Measure Domain

PRIMARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Outcome

The validity of measures depends on how they are built. By examining the key building blocks of a measure, you can assess its validity for your purpose. For more information, visit the Measure Validity page.

SECONDARY MEASURE DOMAIN

Does not apply to this measure

Brief Abstract

DESCRIPTION

This measure is used to assess the number of cases of birth trauma, injury to neonate, per 1,000 liveborn births.

RATIONALE

Hospitals in the United States provide the setting for some of life's most pivotal events - the birth of a child, major surgery, treatment for otherwise fatal illnesses. These hospitals house the most sophisticated medical technology in the world and provide state-of-the-art diagnostic and therapeutic services. But access to these services comes with certain costs. About 30% of personal health care expenditures in the United States go towards hospital care, and the rate of growth

in spending for hospital services has only recently leveled out after several years of increases following a half a decade of declining growth. Simultaneously, concerns about the quality of health care services have reached a crescendo with the Institute of Medicine's series of reports describing the problem of medical errors and the need for a complete restructuring of the health care system to improve the quality of care. Policymakers, employers, and consumers have made the quality of care in U.S. hospitals a top priority and have voiced the need to assess, monitor, track, and improve the quality of inpatient care.

Widespread consensus exists that health care organizations can reduce patient injuries by improving the environment for safety from implementing technical changes, such as electronic medical record systems, to improving staff awareness of patient safety risks. Clinical process interventions also have strong evidence for reducing the risk of adverse events related to a patient's exposure to hospital care. Patient Safety Indicators (PSIs), which are based on computerized hospital discharge abstracts from the AHRQ's Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP), can be used to better prioritize and evaluate local and national initiatives. Analyses of these and similar inexpensive, readily available administrative data sets may provide a screen for potential medical errors and a method for monitoring trends over time.

The Birth Trauma-Injury to Neonate indicator* is intended to flag cases of birth trauma for infants born alive in a hospital. The indicator excludes patients born pre-term, as birth trauma in these patients may be less preventable than for full-term infants.

*The following concerns affect the validity of this indicator:

- *Condition definition varies*: This indicator includes conditions for which diagnosis may be subjective, depending in the threshold of the physician, and patients with the same clinical state may not have the same diagnosis.
- *Unclear preventability*: As compared to other Patient Safety Indicators (PSIs), the conditions included in this indicator may be less preventable by the health system.
- *Heterogeneous severity*: This indicator includes codes that encompass several levels of severity of a condition that cannot be ascertained by the codes.

Refer to the original measure documentation for further information.

PRIMARY CLINICAL COMPONENT

Birth trauma

DENOMINATOR DESCRIPTION

All liveborn births (newborns)

The definition of newborn is any neonate with either 1) an International Classification for Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modifications (ICD-9-CM) diagnosis code for an in-hospital liveborn birth or 2) an admission type of newborn (Admission Type=4), age in days at admission equal to zero, and not an ICD-9-CM diagnosis code for an out-of-hospital birth. A neonate is defined as any discharge with age in days at admission between zero and 28 days (inclusive). If age in days is missing, then a neonate is defined as any Diagnosis-Related Group

(DRG) in Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 15, an admission type of newborn (Admission Type=4), or an ICD-9-CM diagnosis code for an in-hospital liveborn birth.

Note: Refer to the Technical Specifications document for specific ICD-9-CM codes.

NUMERATOR DESCRIPTION

Discharges among cases meeting the inclusion and exclusion rules for the denominator with International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) code for birth trauma in any diagnosis field

Exclude infants:

- With a subdural or cerebral hemorrhage (subgroup of birth trauma coding) **and** any diagnosis code of pre-term infant (denoting birth weight of less than 2,500 grams and less than 37 weeks gestation or 34 weeks gestation or less)
- With injury to skeleton (767.3, 767.4) **and** any diagnosis code of osteogenesis imperfecta (756.51)

Note: Refer to the Technical Specifications document for specific ICD-9-CM codes.

Evidence Supporting the Measure

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE CRITERION OF QUALITY

- A formal consensus procedure involving experts in relevant clinical, methodological, and organizational sciences
- One or more research studies published in a National Library of Medicine (NLM) indexed, peer-reviewed journal

Evidence Supporting Need for the Measure

NEED FOR THE MEASURE

Variation in quality for the performance measured

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING NEED FOR THE MEASURE

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to patient safety indicators [version 3.1]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)AHRQ Pub; 2007 Mar 12. 76 p.(AHRQ Pub; no. 03-R203).

State of Use of the Measure

STATE OF USE

Current routine use

CURRENT USE

Internal quality improvement
National reporting
Quality of care research

CARE SETTING

Hospitals

PROFESSIONALS RESPONSIBLE FOR HEALTH CARE

Advanced Practice Nurses
Physicians

LOWEST LEVEL OF HEALTH CARE DELIVERY ADDRESSED

Individual Clinicians

TARGET POPULATION AGE

Newborn infants age 0 to 28 days

TARGET POPULATION GENDER

Either male or female

STRATIFICATION BY VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Unspecified

Characteristics of the Primary Clinical Component

INCIDENCE/PREVALENCE

Unspecified

ASSOCIATION WITH VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Unspecified

BURDEN OF ILLNESS

Unspecified

UTILIZATION

Unspecified

COSTS

Unspecified

Institute of Medicine National Healthcare Quality Report Categories

IOM CARE NEED

Getting Better

IOM DOMAIN

Safety

Data Collection for the Measure

CASE FINDING

Users of care only

DESCRIPTION OF CASE FINDING

All liveborn births (newborns)

The definition of newborn is any neonate with either 1) an International Classification for Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modifications (ICD-9-CM) diagnosis code for an in-hospital liveborn birth or 2) an admission type of newborn (Admission Type=4), age in days at admission equal to zero, and not an ICD-9-CM diagnosis code for an out-of-hospital birth. A neonate is defined as any discharge with age in days at admission between zero and 28 days (inclusive). If age in days is missing, then a neonate is defined as any Diagnosis-Related Group (DRG) in Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 15, an admission type of newborn (Admission Type=4), or an ICD-9-CM diagnosis code for an in-hospital liveborn birth.

Note: Refer to the Technical Specifications document for specific ICD-9-CM codes.

DENOMINATOR SAMPLING FRAME

Patients associated with provider

DENOMINATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

Inclusions
All liveborn births (newborns)

The definition of newborn is any neonate with either 1) an International Classification for Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modifications (ICD-9-CM) diagnosis code for an in-hospital liveborn birth or 2) an admission type of newborn (Admission Type=4), age in days at admission equal to zero, and not an ICD-9-CM diagnosis code for an out-of-hospital birth. A neonate is defined as any discharge with age in days at admission between zero and 28 days (inclusive). If age in days is missing, then a neonate is defined as any Diagnosis-Related Group (DRG) in Major Diagnostic Category (MDC) 15, an admission type of newborn (Admission Type=4), or an ICD-9-CM diagnosis code for an in-hospital liveborn birth.

Note: Refer to the Technical Specifications document for specific ICD-9-CM codes.

Exclusions
Unspecified

RELATIONSHIP OF DENOMINATOR TO NUMERATOR

All cases in the denominator are equally eligible to appear in the numerator

DENOMINATOR (INDEX) EVENT

Clinical Condition
Institutionalization

DENOMINATOR TIME WINDOW

Time window is a single point in time

NUMERATOR INCLUSIONS/EXCLUSIONS

Inclusions
Discharges among cases meeting the inclusion and exclusion rules for the denominator with International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) code for birth trauma in any diagnosis field

Exclusions
Exclude infants:

- With a subdural or cerebral hemorrhage (subgroup of birth trauma coding) **and** any diagnosis code of pre-term infant (denoting birth weight of less than 2,500 grams and less than 37 weeks gestation or 34 weeks gestation or less)
- With injury to skeleton (767.3, 767.4) **and** any diagnosis code of osteogenesis imperfecta (756.51)

Note: Refer to the Technical Specifications document for specific ICD-9-CM codes.

MEASURE RESULTS UNDER CONTROL OF HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS, ORGANIZATIONS AND/OR POLICYMAKERS

The measure results are somewhat or substantially under the control of the health care professionals, organizations and/or policymakers to whom the measure applies.

NUMERATOR TIME WINDOW

Institutionalization

DATA SOURCE

Administrative data

LEVEL OF DETERMINATION OF QUALITY

Not Individual Case

OUTCOME TYPE

Adverse Outcome

PRE-EXISTING INSTRUMENT USED

Unspecified

Computation of the Measure

SCORING

Rate

INTERPRETATION OF SCORE

Better quality is associated with a lower score

ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS

Analysis by subgroup (stratification on patient factors, geographic factors, etc.) Risk adjustment method widely or commercially available

DESCRIPTION OF ALLOWANCE FOR PATIENT FACTORS

Risk adjustment of the data is recommended using sex.

Application of multivariate signal extraction (MSX) to smooth risk adjusted rates is also recommended.

STANDARD OF COMPARISON

External comparison at a point in time
External comparison of time trends
Internal time comparison

EXTENT OF MEASURE TESTING

The Patient Safety Indicators (PSIs) were evaluated by the project team using empirical analyses to explore the frequency and variation of the indicators, the potential bias, based on limited risk adjustment, and the relationship between indicators. The data sources used in the empirical analyses were the 1997 Florida State Inpatient Database (SID) for initial testing and development and the 1997 Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) State Inpatient Database for 19 States for the final empirical analyses.

All potential indicators were examined empirically by developing and conducting statistical tests for precision, bias, and relatedness of indicators. Three different estimates of hospital performance were calculated for each indicator:

1. The raw indicator rate was calculated using the number of adverse events in the numerator divided by the number of discharges in the population at risk by hospital.
2. The raw indicator was adjusted to account for differences among hospitals in age, gender, modified Diagnosis-Related Group (DRG), and comorbidities.
3. Multivariate signal extraction methods were applied to adjust for reliability by estimating the amount of "noise" (i.e., variation due to random error) relative to the amount of "signal" (i.e., systematic variation in hospital performance or reliability) for each indicator.

The project team constructed a set of statistical tests to examine the precision, bias, and relatedness of indicators for all accepted Provider-level Indicators, and precision and bias for all accepted Area-level Indicators. It should be noted that rates based on fewer than 30 cases in the numerator or the denominator are not reported.

The project team conducted a structured review of each indicator to evaluate the face validity (from a clinical perspective) of the indicators. The methodology for the structured review was adapted from the RAND/UCLA Appropriateness Method and consisted of an initial independent assessment of each indicator by clinician panelists using an initial questionnaire, a conference call among all panelists, followed by a final independent assessment by clinician panelists using the same questionnaire. The review sought to establish *consensual validity*, which "extends face validity from one expert to a panel of experts who examine and rate the appropriateness of each item..." The panel process served to refine definitions of some indicators, add new measures, and dismiss indicators with major concerns from further consideration.

Refer to the original measure documentation for additional details.

EVIDENCE FOR RELIABILITY/VALIDITY TESTING

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to patient safety indicators [version 3.1]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)AHRQ Pub; 2007 Mar 12. 76 p.(AHRQ Pub; no. 03-R203).

Identifying Information

ORIGINAL TITLE

Birth trauma -- injury to neonate (PSI 17).

MEASURE COLLECTION

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Quality Indicators

MEASURE SET NAME

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Patient Safety Indicators

DEVELOPER

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

FUNDING SOURCE(S)

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)

COMPOSITION OF THE GROUP THAT DEVELOPED THE MEASURE

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Quality Indicators are in the public domain and the specifications come from multiple sources, including the published and unpublished literature, users, researchers, and other organizations. AHRQ as an agency is responsible for the content of the indicators.

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/OTHER POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None

INCLUDED IN

National Healthcare Disparities Report (NHDR)
National Healthcare Quality Report (NHQR)

ADAPTATION

This indicator has been widely used in the obstetric community, although it is most commonly based on chart review rather than administrative data. It was proposed by Miller and colleagues (2001) in the original "Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Patient Safety Indicator (PSI) Algorithms and Groupings." Based on expert consensus panels, McKesson Health Solutions

included a broader version of this indicator in its CareEnhance Resource Management Systems, Quality Profiler Complications Measures Module.

RELEASE DATE

2003 Mar

REVISION DATE

2008 Mar

MEASURE STATUS

This is the current release of the measure.

This measure updates previous versions:

- AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to patient safety indicators [version 3.0a]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2006 May 1. 78 p. (AHRQ Pub; no. 03-R203).
- AHRQ quality indicators. Patient safety indicators: technical specifications [version 3.1]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2007 Mar 12. 107 p.

SOURCE(S)

AHRQ quality indicators. Guide to patient safety indicators [version 3.1]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)AHRQ Pub; 2007 Mar 12. 76 p.(AHRQ Pub; no. 03-R203).

AHRQ quality indicators. Patient safety indicators: technical specifications [version 3.2]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2008 Mar 10. 107 p.

MEASURE AVAILABILITY

The individual measure, "Birth Trauma -- Injury to Neonate (PSI 17)," is published in "AHRQ Quality Indicators. Guide to Patient Safety Indicators" and "AHRQ Quality Indicators. Patient Safety Indicators: Technical Specifications." These documents are available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the Patient Safety Indicators Download page at the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Quality Indicators Web site.

For more information, please contact the QI Support Team at support@qualityindicators.ahrq.gov.

COMPANION DOCUMENTS

The following are available:

- AHRQ quality indicators. Patient safety indicators: software documentation [version 3.2] - SAS. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2008 Mar 10. 42 p. This document is available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Quality Indicators Web site.
- AHRQ quality indicators. Software documentation: Windows [version 3.2]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2008 Mar 10. 99 p. This document is available in PDF from the AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site.
- Patient safety indicators: covariates [version 3.1]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2007 Mar 12. 27 p. This document is available in PDF from the AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site.
- Patient safety indicators: covariates (with POA) [version 3.1]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2007 Mar 12. 27 p. This document is available in PDF from the AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site.
- Remus D, Fraser I. Guidance for using the AHRQ quality indicators for hospital-level public reporting or payment. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2004 Aug. 24 p. This document is available in PDF from the AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site.
- AHRQ summary statement on comparative hospital public reporting. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2005 Dec. 1 p. This document is available in PDF from the AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site.
- Guidance for using the AHRQ quality indicators for public reporting or payment - appendix A: current uses of AHRQ quality indicators and considerations for hospital-level reporting. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2005 Dec. A1-13 p. This document is available in PDF from the AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site.
- Guidance for using the AHRQ quality indicators for public reporting or payment - appendix B: public reporting evaluation framework--comparison of recommended evaluation criteria in five existing national frameworks. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2005 Dec. B1-4 p. This document is available in PDF from the AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site.
- UCSF-Stanford Evidence-based Practice Center. Davies GM, Geppert J, McClellan M, et al. Refinement of the HCUP quality indicators. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2001 May. (Technical review; no. 4). This document is available in PDF from the AHRQ Quality Indicators Web site.
- HCUPnet. [internet]. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ); 2004 [accessed 2007 May 21]. [Various pagings]. HCUPnet is available from the AHRQ Web site. See the related QualityTools summary.

NQMC STATUS

This NQMC summary was completed by ECRI on October 1, 2003. The information was verified by the measure developer on October 29, 2003. This summary was updated by ECRI on February 7, 2005 and on April 11, 2006. The information was verified by the measure developer on July 31, 2006. This NQMC summary was updated by ECRI Institute on June 12, 2007 and again on October 15, 2008.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

No copyright restrictions apply.